Page 1

INDIANA GAMING COMMISSION BUSINESS MEETING MARCH 8, 2007

The above-captioned business meeting was stenographically taken down by me, Robin L. Helton, a notary public in and for the County of Marion, State of Indiana, at 1:00 p.m., on March 8, 2007, at the Indiana Government Center South, Conference Room B, 402 West Washington Street, Indianapolis, Indiana, and the following transcript is a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings held.

CIRCLE CITY REPORTING 2050 First Indiana Plaza 135 North Pennsylvania Indianapolis, IN 46204 (317) 635-7857

	Page 2
1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:
4	Timothy Murphy, Commissioner
5	Donald Raymond Vowels, Commissioner Thomas Swihart, Commissioner James Cummings, Jr., Commissioner
6	Earnest Yelton, Executive Director
7	Jennifer Arnold, Deputy Director Phil Sicuso, General Counsel Kevin Mahan, Superintendent of Gaming Agents
8	Mark Mitchell, Director of Charity Gaming Tami Timberman, Secretary
9	ramir frimerman, Secretary
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Mr. Chair.

Page 3

COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Good afternoon everyone and welcome. We are working without microphones today so if you cannot hear us in the back, just let us know.

I would like to call to order the March 8, 2007 Indiana Gaming Commission. My name is Tim Murphy and I'm vice chair. I will be standing in today for Chairman Barrett, who is unable to be with us today.

First, I would like to turn the meeting over to Executive Director Yelton for some introduction EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: Thank you,

It's my pleasure to introduce to you this afternoon our newest commissioner who was appointed by Governor Daniels. James Cummings is a native of Indianapolis, where he attended public school and graduated from Crispus Attucks High School. He earned his Bachelor of Science Degree in Physics Administration from Indiana Central College, which is now the University of Indianapolis. He pursued advanced studies in public relations at Syracuse University, continuing education in the State of New York. He engages in residential and commercial real estate sales through his company, Cummings

Page 4

- 1 Real Estate, Inc.
- Mr. Cummings formerly was the Executive
- Director of the Community Action of Greater
- Indianapolis which services Marion, Hendricks,
- 5 Hamilton and Boone Counties. President Ronald
- Reagan called on his service in 1980, appointing
- 7 him the Regional Administrator of HUD based in
- 8 Chicago where he oversaw all federally funded
- 9 housing programs in the states of Indiana,
- 10 Illinois, Ohio, Wisconsin, Michigan and Minnesota.
- He was called to Washington D.C. and Mr. Cummings
- served as the Deputy Assistant Secretary For
- Operations and Management at HUD.
- Jim currently is a member of the Greater
- 15 Indianapolis Progress Committee and the
- 16 Indianapolis Metropolitan Self-Development
- 17 Commission. Mr. Cummings is the founder of Indiana
- Black Expo and served as its chairman and president
- ¹⁹ from 1971 to 1973.
- We welcome Jim aboard as member of our
- ²¹ Commission, The Indiana Gaming Commission.
- 22 COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Thank you, Director
- Executive Yelton.
- The next item would be to call the roll. I'm
- Tim Murphy; Don Vowels, Secretary; Tom Swihart;

Page 5

- Jim Walsh; Jim Cummings.
- There being a quorum present, we will proceed
- 3 to the approval of the minutes from the last
- 4 meeting.
- 5 COMMISSIONER: Move to approve.
- 6 COMMISSIONER: Second.
- COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Been moved and approved
- 8 that we move and second to approve the minutes of
- ⁹ the last meeting.
- The next item of business is the report from
- Executive Director Ernest Yelton.
- EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: Thank you,
- Mr. Chair.
- I want to start with a staff update. Since we
- last met, we've had several changes on our
- 16 Commission staff, and I will call on the department
- heads to introduce them to you.
- First of all, the Legal Division; Phil Sicuso.
- GENERAL COUNSEL SICUSO: From our last meeting,
- I'm sure many of you remember we had a resignation
- of our former licensing director, Michelle Marsden.
- Since her departure, we've promoted our former
- legal secretary, Kesha Rich, to that position. We
- are delighted to have her. She has been doing a
- great job. If any of you have any occupational

Page 6

licensing questions, please contact her.

To replace Kesha's position, however, we have moved Matt Shouse, who I believe is here. Matt used to be our receptionist at the front desk and has since started school and has been working with us part-time with document retention issues. So he will be joining the legal staff as our administrative assistant in about two weeks

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: From the Division of Gaming Agents, we call upon Superintendent Kevin Mahan

MR. MAHAN: It took well over a year but we had our first turnover in the investigation section, and we had the privilege of hiring Jill Clouse.

Jill, if you could stand and be recognized.

Jill has lived in Indiana for fifteen years.

She is married with two daughters. She a graduate of Indiana University with a Bachelor of Arts in Philosophy and a Doctorate of Jurisprudence. Jill just recently completed her certified investigations training course with the IGC, Indiana Law Enforcement Academy, and she is currently fulfilling her role and doing a great job as a background investigator in the investigation section.

Page 7 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: From the Division of Charity Gaming, Director Mark Mitchell. DIRECTOR OF CHARITY GAMING MITCHELL: recently replaced a temporary receptionist position, upgraded it to a full-time position. Ms. Victoria Hayworth joined us in the Charity Gaming Division as our receptionist, filling the reception III position as a full-time employee. Within the last two months, we upgraded a 10 position from analyst to administrative assistant 11 The person filling the analyst position is 12 Ms. Kim Barnett. Ms. Barnett came over with the 13 Charity Gaming Division from the Department of Revenue and was upgraded to a position of 15 administrative assistant III. She currently 16 assists with the investigative and legal divisions. 17 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: Thank you. 18 Also for your information, we are in the 19 process of hiring a new staff attorney, 20 receptionist, a legal secretary -- well, we just 21 did that yesterday, excuse me, and a new clerical 22 position with the Charity Gaming Commission. 23 Next, I want to announce that Michael Danielli, 24 who is an auditor with the State Board of Accounts, 25 met with your executive staff and was tendered to

Page 8

me on February 12th, last month. That afternoon he began his biannual compliance review, which is an abbreviated audit of the agency. Tuesday, he had a gaming research and should finish his review by tomorrow or Monday at the latest. We expect to

have a report of his findings within a month; and so far we do not anticipate any exceptions of any

significant substance

As to litigation, in the interlocutory appeal involving the City of East Chicago, Second Century, two foundations, and the attorney general. Our counsel filed a brief contesting the order of the consolidation as a declaratory judgement action with the judicial review of an administrative action, which were both brought by Second Century. It's been fully briefed and a decision is awaiting.

On the trial court level, Judge Bradford conducted a hearing on the East Chicago's motion to lift a stay of discovery pending interlocutory appeal. Judge Bradford denied the City's motion to do so

The 2007 General Assembly has concluded first chamber action on legislative proposals, several of which I want to bring to your attention here this afternoon.

Page 9

1 House Bill 1254 was an initiative by the 2 Department of Natural Resources, the Alcohol and Tobacco Commission, and the Gaming Commission, for seven new provisions to our present pension plan for our gaming agents. It was authored by Representatives Kuzman and Whetstone. It was heard by the Public Policy Committee, where it received an amended to pass vote of 9 to 1. It was reassigned to the Ways and Means Committee too late 10 in the session for it to be heard. Representative 11 Kuzman is reviewing Senate bills for its insertion 12 and we have gained permission from Representative 13 Grub to speak with Senator Steele for its inclusion 14 in House Bill 1226.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Senate Bill 246 was authored by Senators Mrvan, Kenley, Meeks and Lanske. It provides statutory clarification of the local development agreements between the casino licensee and the local entities. It requires annual reporting of receipts and distribution of gaming proceeds and recognizes the Commissions continuing jurisdiction over their operations. It passed the Senate with a vote of 47 to zero, and has been sent to Representative Van Haafton as sponsor.

House Bill 1837 was presented by Representative

Page 10

- Pelath. Its original form was primarily applicable
- to any construction of a new gaming vessel under
- the alternate marine structural and life safety
- standards. It received a hearing before the Public
- 5 Policy Committee but was deferred for a vote until
- the next day. By then, however, Representative
- Pelath was convinced by the Indiana Gaming Industry
- with the exception of Boyd Gaming, locally elected
- 9 municipal leaders, several unions and legislators
- from other jurisdictions, with boats, that the
- proposal would face tremendous opposition.
- Accordingly, he basically gutted the bill and
- left two provisions: Number 1, a requirement that
- any new vessel be constructed in the State of
- 15 Indiana unless the Commission finds that it's
- either economically or technologically infeasible
- 17 to do so.
- Second: The Commission, rather than the
- legislature, would be empowered to approve new
- casino games. It passed the house 90 to 9 and has
- been sent to Senator Merritt for further
- consideration.
- House Bill 1510 is the Charity Gaming omnibus
- bill. Its author is Representative VanHaafton and
- covers a myriad of issues. Most of the legislation

Page 11

- is a statutory cleansing that treats qualified
- 2 organizations and qualified events equally. The
- balance is an attempt to statutorily authorize
- 4 previous existing practices by the Department of
- 5 Revenue.

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

For example, we have received the most protest about the legal prohibition against full-time paid employees from participating in any fashion in a qualified event. This initiative sanctions their actions.

We have replaced the regulatory creation of calendar raffles with an annual raffle license that would allow 50/50's and weekly drawings, which are presently occurring with significant frequency throughout the state. We have attempted to established a PPT license annually, which are punch board, tip board, and pull tabs, which would allow their daily use which is a custom among many fraternal and veteran organizations.

We have learned that many of the not-for-profits are conducting frequent Texas Hold'em Tournaments without a charity game night license. And in most cases, they will find a for-profit sponsoring the same tournaments just down the street from where they are. We are

Page 12

- therefore asking the legislature to determine
- whether or not card game charity game nights should
- be treated the same as other events. That means
- the availability to do it three times a week with
- 5 no more than two consecutive days.
- We are also requesting approvals for all
- ⁷ Indiana institutions of higher education, such as
- 8 Ivy Tech, to qualify as an educational
- ⁹ organization.
- Finally, we are permitting expanded rentals for
- events but will limit a \$200 per day deduction to
- determine the net proceeds. This bill passed the
- house in a vote of 75 to 24, and has gone to Senate
- with Senators Riegsecker and Simpson as sponsors.
- We are optimistic of the possibility of this
- bill -- taking it through both chambers.
- House Bill 1835 authorized up to 2,500 EGD's at
- each of the existing horseracing tracks. Should
- this be successful, the Commission would be asked
- to serve as a regulatory oversight guardian for the
- State of Indiana. While we do not take any policy
- decision on its passage, we are continuing to
- assist in ensuring that the same powers that we
- exercise on the casinos would be granted for us to
- exercise at the tracks.

2

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 13

Finally, Senate Bill 577 granted the Executive Branch the power to franchise the lottery to a vendor for 30 years under stringent restrictions. Once again, should this proposal be accepted, the Gaming Commission would have a licensing and regulatory oversight of the operations.

However, last Tuesday Speaker Bauer admitted the bill through the Rules Committee of the house which leads us to believe that there is no further action on that particular initiative until a conference committee time, if at all.

We would like to report that on January 23rd, we published a 10th draft of our proposed marine structural and life safety standards for the industry and public comment upon request of the Casino Association, the State of Indiana, and corporate officers avoid gaming. We extended the deadline for written responses to March 15th. We are in the process of arranging a meeting with ADS Consulting, Inc., United States Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security to review the proposed standards. We anticipate to have a final draft for a policy declaration by you at our June meeting.

However, just last week we heard a rumor that

Page 14

- the Coast Guard may well announce its decision to
- abandon all inspections of continuously more
- vessels in the upcoming federal register. If so,
- potentially all ten boats, excluding French Lick,
- would come under the jurisdiction of the alternate
- ⁶ standards.

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We only have two waivers to report since our last meeting. The members of the Casino

Association requested and were granted relief from

gaming device and identification number on the bill

the soft count team members verbalizing the live

validator box during the count. And Argosy was

granted a waiver to allow semiannual rotation and

count of the reserve and secondary chips.

I asked Grand Victoria's local counsel for an update on its client's efforts to market the license at Rising Sun. He responded that Grand Victoria is still actively pursing the sale process and is continuing to engage with interested parties. It has not selected a bidder to negotiate with exclusively.

Based upon the results of the negotiations with interested parties, Hyatt Gaming will decide whether to sell, hold, expand, or refinance.

I would like to emphasize that the Commission

Page 15 has yet to receive any inquiry from any entity seeking any information as to the possibility or the process of transferring the license to another venue. And that, Mr. Chair, concludes the Executive Director's report 7 COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Thank you, Executive 8 Director Yelton. Is there a motion to approve Executive Director 10 Yelton's report? 11 COMMISSIONER VOWELS: I will so move. 12 COMMISSIONER SWIHART: Second. 13 COMMISSIONER MURPHY: It's been moved and 14 seconded that we accept the Executive Director's 15 report. 16 Executive Director, is there any old business? 17 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: No, there is not. 18 COMMISSIONER MURPHY: There being no old 19 business, we will move on to the report from the 20 Superintendent of the Gaming Agents, Kevin Mahan. 21 SUPERINTENDENT MAHAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 22 We have reached a milestone just last Wednesday 23 in which the fifth and final transitional class

Circle City Reporting 317-635-7857

As of midnight of March 18th, all 10 casino

graduated from the Indiana Law Enforcement Academy.

24

25

Page 16

properties have been transitioned from the Indiana

State Police to the Division of Gaming Agents

Enforcement section, which at this point in time

will be in place, which means we have a

representation of 122 gaming agents on 10

properties who are fulfilling the duties and

obligations of acting as the eyes and ears for the

State of Indiana to ensure that gaming is being

conducted with the utmost integrity.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

I want to, once again, and lastly, thank the Indiana State Police for their cooperation throughout this transaction. And as importantly, I want to thank all the management at all of the properties for all of their cooperation and the hard work that they and their staff have put for forth in welcoming these new gaming agents. I do look forward to a very long professional working relationship with all of the casino employees.

We have now reached this milestone of putting Senate Act 626 in place. Our next mission is to build a bond of what we have already done and make it even better. I'm very proud of the 140 gaming agents and the support staff that we have on board with us and I'm very confident that you, as the Commission, will be equally proud of them as well.

Page 17

The investigation section recently reinvestigated three companies; Progressive Gaming International, Midwest Gaming Supply Company, and International Electronic Protection. All three of these suppliers met the demands of their recent reinvestigation and are in good standing with the Indiana Gaming Commission.

To date -- year to date, there has been 61 PD 1 investigations that have been completed, and we are currently underway and getting ready to start our second international investigation.

Lastly, there are -- as far as three-year investigations, we do have two properties this year -- by year end that will be completing their reinvestigation, their three years.

Mr. Chairman, that's the report from the superintendent. And again, I thank you COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Thank you, Kevin.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Our next report will come from Mark Mitchell, the report from the Director of Charity Gaming

DIRECTOR OF CHARITY GAMING MITCHELL: Thank you, Commissioner.

I would like to report that since July 1, 2006 when Charity Gaming was transitioned, the Department of Revenue, the Indiana Gaming

Page 18

Commission, the Charity Gaming staff has processed a total of 1,536 license applications, collecting over \$1,426,000 in licensing fees.

Also, in response to public requests and improved customer service, we have recently provided a list of manufacturers and distributors licensed by the Commission, and a list of the organizations qualified by the Commission to conduct the Charity Gaming events in Indiana to the Charity Gaming section of the Commission's website. These lists will be updated on a monthly basis.

Lastly, we held our fourth and last public hearing on the recent proposed Charity Gaming rule, and this was held in Indianapolis in the Indiana Gaming Commission conference room. We collected oral and written comments from the public that attended. And Staff Attorney Ms. Lea Ellingwood is scheduled to present the Charity Gaming resolution to you later in this business meeting.

This would conclude the Charity Gaming Division report.

COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Thank you, Mark.

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The next item of business will be the Voluntary Exclusion Issues. Those will be prevented by Angie Bunton.

Page 19

MS. BUNTON: You have before you six orders regarding Voluntary Exclusion Program. Pursuant to the rules of the program, identities of Voluntary Exclusion Program participants must remain confidential, pursuant to 68 IAC 6-3-2(g), a participant in the program agrees that if he or she violates the terms of the program and enters the gaming area of a facility, under the jurisdiction of the Commission they will forfeit any jackpot or 10 thing of value won as a result of a wager. 11 Under order 2007-1, a total sum of \$8,324.63 12 was forfeited by John Doe number 1 through 6. 13 These winnings were collected at Argosy, Caesar's, French Lick and Majestic Star. These winnings were 15 withheld as required by the Commission regulations. 16 Commission staff recommends that you approve the 17 remittance of these winnings as fines levied 18 against John Doe's 1 through 6. 19 COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Is there a motion? 20 COMMISSIONER VOWELS: I will move to approve 21 Orders 2007 - 1 through 2007-6. 22

COMMISSIONER SWIHART: Second

23 COMMISSIONER MURPHY: It's been moved and

24 seconded that we approve the resolutions.

25

All in favor, signify by saying I.

Page 20

BOARD: I.

7

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Opposed?

Thank you, Angie.

Next item of business will be an occupational license matter presented by Adam Packer

MR. PACKER: Thank you, Mr. Vice Chair.

The first occupational licensing matter before you is in the matter of a denial of occupational license for Brenda Wilson. Some of you may recall Ms. Wilson. She had applied for a casino host position at Caesar's Indiana and was denied for the reason of a felony conviction for forgery that occurred back in 1970.

In the meantime, Ms. Wilson appealed your denial of her occupational license and during the process of the administrative appeal, she was able to get her sentence reduced or -- her sentence reduced or the conviction was completely expunged, therefore, making her appeal sail through the administrative process a little bit quicker than we first anticipated. The Administrative Law Judge issued a recommendation that you reverse your original denial of her occupational license and allow her to get a temporary license. I believe Ms. Wilson is here today, if she has anything to

Page 21

- 1 add.
- 2 COMMISSIONER VOWELS: From what I remember, by
- statute we didn't have the option to accomplish
- anything because of this offense. And it was
- 5 suggested to you to do what you were able to
- ⁶ accomplish.
- ⁷ MS. WILSON: Yes.
- 8 COMMISSIONER VOWELS: I will now make a motion
- ⁹ to approve the findings of fact and ALJ's
- recommendation, which would be to grant the waiver;
- is that right?
- MR. PACKER: Well, it wouldn't be a waiver, but
- the appeal was of the Commission's denial of her
- occupational license on the basis of a felony. She
- was ineligible for the felony waiver because of the
- character of her offense. So the ruling would be
- on the denial itself.
- 18 COMMISSIONER VOWELS: I don't know what kind of
- motion to make out of all of that.
- MR. PACKER: To adopt the ALJ's recommendation,
- which is to approve Ms. Wilson's application for a
- ²² license
- 23 COMMISSIONER MURPHY: We have a motion to
- approve the application for a license.
- ²⁵ COMMISSIONER SWIHART: Second.

Page 22 1 COMMISSIONER MURPHY: The motion has been 2 approved and seconded, that we approve Order 2007-7. All in favor? BOARD: Τ. COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Opposed? 7 Thank you. 8 BRENDA WILSON: Thank you very much. I want to thank Mr. Packer, he has been very helpful to me. 10 I thank all of you. 11 COMMISSIONER VOWELS: How did you get that 12 through so quickly? 13 BRENDA WILSON: I hired a California attorney 14 and he got it done. 15 COMMISSIONER VOWELS: I'm glad you did. 16 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: I would like the 17 record to reflect she has been here a lot longer 18 than anyone and has showed the most tenacity and 19 persistence of anyone I've ever seen. 20 BRENDA WILSON: Thank you. Thank you. 21 MR. PACKER: Next on the agenda for 22 occupational license matters is the disciplinary 23 action of Andres Martinez. Mr. Martinez had 24 submitted a level three occupational license 25 application for Resorts East Chicago to work as a

Page 23

- porter. In 2000, he was issued a temporary license
- and then a permanent occupational license in 2003.
- He was arrested on a felony OWI in August of 2006
- and failed to report it within the required 10
- 5 days, reporting within the regulations. He did
- eventually report it in December of 2006 during the
- annual update form or the recent updated form that
- 8 accompanies his annual license renewal.

The Commission staff considered this to be a serious violation, worthy of some disciplinary action, because the original charge was for a

felony OWI. He eventually plead guilty to a

misdemeanor OWI, so there was no disciplinary

action taken against him because of the nature

of the offense but merely because he failed to

report it within the required period of time.

Mr. Martinez and I agreed on the one-day suspension

and a settlement agreement for that disciplinary

action is in front of you for a vote.

15

18

19

20

COMMISSION MURPHY: Any questions?

21 COMMISSIONER WALSH: What's the difference

between a felony OWI and a misdemeanor OWI?

MR. PACKER: A felony OWI can occur if the OWI

results in great bodily injury to a person or prior

OWI's on the accused's record. In this case,

Page 24

- ¹ Mr. Martinez was charged with a felony OWI because
- he had prior OWI's on his record.
- COMMISSIONER VOWELS: If I can add, the way
- that works is if a person is convicted today of a
- misdemeanor drunk driving and the conviction was
- entered, within the next five years if they are
- ⁷ charged with another drunk driving, it is enhanced
- 8 to a felony. They had a prior within five years.
- ⁹ He was initially charged with a felony and reduced
- to a misdemeanor.
- MR. PACKER: He pled guilty to a misdemeanor.
- 12 COMMISSIONER VOWELS: That is because of his
- lack of a prior felony record?
- MR. PACKER: Yes.
- 15 COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Okay. Any other
- questions? Do we have a motion?
- 17 COMMISSIONER SWIHART: So moved.
- 18 COMMISSIONER VOWELS: I will second.
- 19 COMMISSIONER MURPHY: It has been approved and
- been moved and seconded that we approve Order
- ²¹ 2007-8. All in favor?
- BOARD: I.
- COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Opposed?
- Motion 2007-8 is approved.
- MR. PACKER: Next on the agenda for the

Page 25

- occupational license matter is a felony waiver
- report. Before I go into the specific felony
- waivers themselves, it has been brought to my
- attention that because of some new members on the
- ⁵ Commission and because of the complexity of the
- felony waiver process, it might be useful to
- ⁷ briefly go over that process.

When an applicant applies for an occupational

- 9 license and discloses a felony on his or her
- application, the gaming agents are instructed to
- immediately deny that application. The applicant
- then has the opportunity, within the next 10 days,
- to apply for a waiver of the felony
- disqualification that occurs because of that
- disclosure.

We have found in our experience that there are

- a number of people that when their application is
- denied and given an opportunity to file for a
- waiver, they don't follow up on the waiver.
- That weeds out a percentage of the applicants with
- felonies on their record.
- The next step is that the felony waiver
- application, if submitted, makes its way to
- Indianapolis to the Commission staff. We review it
- for several things on a preliminary basis.

Page 26

First of all, the statute delineates between different types of felonies, the first type which includes all gambling-related felonies and felonies of misrepresentation or fraud. Those people are ineligible for a felony waiver as a blanket prohibition. That was Ms. Wilson's case because of a forgery conviction on her record.

The second category is a 10-year ban from applying for a felony waiver. And those are offenses that deal within controlled substances or great bodily injury.

And the third is a catchall, all other felonies, OWI's, felonies under the family statute are a five-year ban from the discharge of the sentence.

So I characterize it in one of those three categories.

Then the next step is to get a letter from the prospective employer, from the riverboat licensee stating that they will employ the applicant if the felony waiver is granted. If those criteria are met, then the felony waiver application moves on to a hearing and comes in front of you.

That weeding out process in the last four months has resulted in five felony waiver

Page 27

- applications that are not coming before you today.
- One of those is because the employer letter stating
- that the riverboat would employ that applicant is
- now void, they rescinded their offer of employment.
- 5 Two of those are because of insufficient
- information in the application, meaning it would
- make the application not move forward to the
- 8 hearing stage. And two additional felony waiver
- applications have fallen by the wayside because the
- applicants were ineligible for felony waiver
- because of the length of time it had been since the
- discharge of their sentence, probation, prison
- sentence.
- 14 That leaves four felony applications that come
- before you today. The first is of Daniel Stiemann.
- Mr. Stiemann applied for a level two occupational
- license to work as a casino host at the French Lick
- Resort Casino. He disclosed in his application
- that in 1987 he was convicted of a felony for use
- of a controlled substance in Colorado. His
- 21 application was denied, he applied for a felony
- waiver, he went through those preliminary processes
- and made it to a hearing. The purpose of the
- hearing is to determine if the person has been
- rehabilitated.

Page 28

- ¹ There are ten statutory factors the hearing officer
- must consider and those are set forth on page 2 of
- the findings of fact and recommendation for
- 4 Mr. Stiemann under paragraph 11 of the legal
- procedural background.

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

We went through those factors and a brief summary of those would be the best way to present Mr. Stiemann's case to you today. The nature and duty of his position requires him to interact with customers and provide services to them. He will not have cage access or pit access or anything else that would make staff believe that his position was of a sensitive nature.

The nature of his conviction was serious, with the use of a controlled substance. The circumstances of it were kind of odd, also. He was in a financial situation, his neighbor approached him with an opportunity to have a couple mortgage payments paid to make a delivery to Colorado. It turned out that the federal government was putting the neighbor under heavy surveillance.

Mr. Stiemann was arrested upon his arrival in
Colorado. He was sentenced only to probation. He
was not sentenced to any prison time, nor did he

have any drug rehabilitation or counseling as part

Page 29

- of his sentence which may or may not, in your opinions, play into the judge's evaluation of the gravity of his defense.
- Mr. Stiemann reiterated many times in the hearing that he is not a drug dealer or user and this is a one-time mistake, and this is not a type of thing he does on a regular basis. And his criminal record will bear that out. He has three other spots on his criminal record. One is a 1976 10 armed robbery charge that was not prosecuted. One 11 was in 1987, OWI. And the other was failure to 12 yield or turn signal or something of that nature. 13 Mr. Stiemann's offense was isolated. He has had no 14 other activity as to controlled substances. 15 Additionally, his offense does not impugn the 16 Commission's regulatory mission in this case. 17 French Lick says they will hire Mr. Stiemann after
- 19 COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Questions in the felony
 20 waiver case?

being made aware of his history.

18

- MR. WALSH: What percentage of people who get rejected first never bother to follow up?
- MR. PACKER: In my year here, it's been

 somewhere around 40 percent that they do not bother

 to file a felony waiver application

Page 30 COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Any questions? If not, is there a motion to accept the felony waiver? COMMISSIONER VOWELS: I will move. COMMISSIONER SWIHART: Second. COMMISSIONER MURPHY: It's been moved and 7 seconded. All in favor? 8 BOARD: I. COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Opposed, same sign. 10 Felony waiver Order 2007-9 approved. 11 The next felony waiver in front MR. PACKER: 12 of the Commission today is for Anthony Barba. 13 Mr. Barba submitted an application to the Commission for a level 3 occupational license to 15 work as a cook. In the meantime, French Lick 16 Resort Casino has offered him a position as a 17 casino host. So in my evaluation, I have analyzed 18 this and reanalyzed it as if he were applying for 19 the casino host position because he will go back 20 and do that. 21 In 1995, Mr. Barba pled guilty to a felony 22 involving a controlled substance in Brooklyn, New 23 He pled quilty to a controlled substance York. 24 III, and was sentenced to 60 days in prison and 25 five years of probation. He served 40 days in

Page 31

prison and about a year and a half of his probation which expired May 14, 1997.

Again, the 10 statutory factors that are listed in paragraph 13 of the legal and procedure background serves as a useful tool in evaluating Mr. Barba's case. The nature and duties of his position are similar to those of Mr. Stiemann, it's a customer service/customer relation type of position. Again, the nature of a controlled substance conviction is rather serious, which is why the statute requires a 10-year ban on felony waiver on people involved in controlled substances.

Although Mr. Barba presented clear and convincing evidence, in the hearing officer's opinion, that the controlled substance was not actually his and he was not a drug user or dealer. The details of the situation were that Mr. Barber was driving his vehicle in Brooklyn, New York with three friends, a police officer spotted one of the passengers snorting cocaine off the seat of the vehicle, pulled the car over, and you can fill in the rest of the blanks. Mr. Barba pled guilty to the offense, served his time.

The particular weight in my mind is the nature of the offense. Mr. Barba has no other criminal

Page 32

- record. Also a weight is his rehabilitation,
- although he said he is not a drug user or required
- to complete any counseling or treatment as a
- function of his sentence. He did move to Indiana a
- few short months after being released from prison
- and started his life, basically, from scratch, and
- 7 that is a sign of rehabilitation in my mind.

Finally, French Lick Resort Casino has

indicated they will hire Mr. Barba even after being

made aware of his criminal history.

11 COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Questions?

12 COMMISSIONER VOWELS: You are stating that we

have to wait until May 14th actually to --

MR. PACKER: That's correct. I'm sorry, I

forgot to bring that up. Because his probation did

not end until May 14, 1997, he is not statutorily

eligible for felony waiver until May 14th. But

because of the close nature of that, I proceeded

with the application with the understanding with

Mr. Barba that if his felony waiver was granted, it

would not be effective until May 14th when he is

statutorily eligible.

23 COMMISSIONER VOWELS: In this Order 2007-10,

should there be made some references in there? I

don't see any reference in the May 14, 2007.

Page 33 MR. PACKER: In the final order, yeah, there 2 will be. COMMISSIONER VOWELS: Mr. Chairman, with that in mind, with nothing further, I will move to approve this order. COMMISSIONER: Second. 7 COMMISSIONER MURPHY: All in favor? BOARD: I. Opposed? COMMISSIONER MURPHY: 10 Order 2007-10 is accepted 11 MR. PACKER: Thank you, Mr. Vice Chair. 12 2007-11 is the matter of Jason Guthrie. 13 Petitioner Jason Guthrie has applied for a level 2 occupational license to work as a table game 15 dealer, specifically craps, at French Lick Resort 16 Casino. He disclosed in his occupational license 17 application that in 1993 he was convicted of a 18 felony, OWI, in Lawrence County, Indiana. This is 19 much like Mr. Martinez, felony OWI; this was as a 20 result of priors. As you may see in the materials 21 provided, Mr. Guthrie had another relapse on to 22 some alcohol problems in 2004. After serving his 23 sentence for the felony OWI in '93, in '04 he had 24 two OWI misdemeanor convictions. 25 And the emphasis of my analysis of his

Page 34

- rehabilitation is focused on since 2004. He
- 2 explained that he went through a work release
- 3 program during the 1993 OWI and also attended a
- 4 counseling session; but in 2004 in conjunction with
- ⁵ his misdemeanor OWI's, he did a more intensive
- 6 counseling program that lasted approximately six
- ⁷ months.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Additionally, he continues to track his progress. He stated to me he has not had a drink in 312 days, and expressed remorse over the situation, and that he would take this new position seriously, and that his alcohol troubles were behind him.

Again, the statutory factors are useful tool in evaluating Mr. Guthrie's case. The nature and duties of his position do require handling of the chips and there is a potential for fraud or deceit because of possible cheating with players or patrons, but the nature of Mr. Guthrie's felony is not such that I would believe that his character or his propensity would involve any kind of deceitful or misrepresentation or fraudulent activity in conjunction with his job.

Additionally, French Lick Resort Casino has stated that they will hire Mr. Guthrie if the

Page 35 1 felony waiver is granted. COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Ouestions on Mr. Guthrie? If not, is there a motion to accept Order 2007-11? COMMISSIONER VOWELS: I will move to accept the order. COMMISSIONER SWIHART: Second it. COMMISSIONER MURPHY: It's been moved and seconded. All in favor? 10 I. BOARD: 11 COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Opposed? 12 Order 2007-11 is accepted. 13 MR. PACKER: The final felony waiver matter 14 before you today is that of Donald Hepp. 15 Mr. Hepp submitted an application for a level 2 to 16 work as a cage cashier at French Lick Resort 17 Casino. And he disclosed in his application that 18 in 1982 he pled guilty to felony incest in Clark 19 County, Indiana. He was sentenced to two years in 20 prison and six months probation. He served six 21 months of that in prison in Westville and attended 22 counseling both before and after his prison 23 sentence. He was otherwise eliqible for a felony 24 waiver and the hearing was conducted on March 1st 25 to inquire as to the degree of his rehabilitation.

Page 36

Once again, the statutory factors for
rehabilitation are useful, the useful framework for
evaluating Mr. Hepp's application for a felony
waiver. Mr. Hepp's position is of a sensitive
nature and involves handling large sums of money as
a cage cashier. He takes the patron's chips or
tickets and exchanges them for money. However, the
nature of his offenses is not such that it would
impugn his financial -- would not imply he has a
propensity for financial corruption or deceit or
any other concerns of that nature. The offense is
clearly a grave offense.

Mr. Hepp has altered his life considerably in the meantime. He got out of the marriage he was in at that time. He has rehabilitated his relationship with the victim. I spoke with the victim on the telephone and the victim indicated that there are no qualms about having family members or children around Mr. Hepp and that she has a relationship with him that she described as normal, they see each other a couple of times a month. Her opinion is that he has been rehabilitated and made changes in his life.

Mr. Hepp also presented evidence that in the 25 years since the offense, he has not had any

Page 37

- relapses into this kind of behavior. Additionally,
- 2 he credited the doctors at Westville and his time
- there as giving him an opportunity to change his
- behavior and not have any further incidences of
- this nature. Additionally, his complete criminal
- ferrored is void of any other incest or sex crimes.
- ⁷ The other blemishes on his record is traffic
- ⁸ tickets and one failure to appear on a debt
- ⁹ collection matter.
- Additionally, French Lick Resort Casino has
- indicated that they will hire
- Mr. Hepp if the Commission grants his felony
- waiver.
- 14 COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Questions on Donald Hepp?
- 15 COMMISSIONER VOWELS: I have no questions.
- Does anyone else have any questions?
- I would like to say a thing or two. This is,
- obviously, a very sensitive nature, this type of
- offense. The best thing that Mr. Hepp has going
- for him here is that it has been 25 years since
- that offense occurred and he has had an umblemished
- record since that time. There is nothing in the
- nature of what his position would be at French Lick
- that this type of offense would be related to that
- part that would cause us any problems.

Page 38 It would be really easy for us -- for me to just say this is so disqusting I'm going to deny the felony waiver, but that is not why I'm on this Commission. We have to make hard decisions. Ιt appears to me that 25 years of an umblemished record and your discussions with the victim leads me to the position where I would vote to grant this felony waiver. So with that said, I have nothing further. 10 COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Do we have a motion? 11 I will move. COMMISSIONER VOWELS: 12 COMMISSIONER SWIHART: Second. 13 COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Moved and seconded. All14 in favor? 15 BOARD: I. 16 Opposed? COMMISSIONER MURPHY: 17 Order 2007-12 is accepted. 18 MR. PACKER: Thank you, Mr. Vice Chair. 19 COMMISSIONER MURPHY: The next item of business 20 will be supplier licensee matters presented by 21 Kesha Rich. 22 MS. RICH: You have before you Order 2007-13 23 concerning the renewal of supplier licenses. 24 Pursuant to Indiana Code 4-33 and 68 IAC 2-2, the 25 Commission has previously approved the permanent

Page 39 supplier's license for the following seven companies: Midwest Game Supply Co.; IGT; Fidelity National Transaction Services, Inc.; AC Coin; WMS Gaming, Inc.; Bally Technologies, Inc.; and Dallman Systems, Inc. A supplier's license is valid for a period of 7 one year. Pursuant to IC 4-33-7-8 and 68 IAC 2-2-8, supplier's license must be renewed annually and a payment of \$5,000 for the annual 10 renewal fee must be remitted. Each of these 11 licensees has requested a renewal of their license 12 and has paid the appropriate renewal fees. 13 The Commission staff recommends that you 14 approve the renewal of the licenses for the seven 15 suppliers. 16 COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Is there a motion to 17 approve? 18 COMMISSIONER SWIHART: So moved. 19 COMMISSIONER VOWELS: I will second. 20 COMMISSIONER MURPHY: It's been moved and 21 seconded. All in favor? 22 BOARD: I. 23 COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Opposed? 24 Order 2007-13 is approved. 25 MS. RICH: Thank you.

Page 40

COMMISSIONER MURPHY: The next matter of business will be the riverboat owner's matters presented by Phil Sicuso.

GENERAL COUNSEL SICUSO: Thank you, Mr. Vice Chair.

I'm going to try to handle 2007-14 and 15 together. They are two financing matters that are very similar. Both orders as proposed would ratify interim financing approvals which were extended by Executive Director Yelton on February 6, 2007 to Harrah's Operating Company and Pinnacle Entertainment respectfully.

Executive Director Yelton's interim approvals authorize Harrah and Pinnacle to finalize their respective financing proposals without receiving prior approval from the full Commission and without waiting to satisfy the two requirements in our regulations.

The debt transaction at issue for Harrah's, which is in your materials, was a request to approve additional financing up to 2.25 billion dollars through a new unsecured credit facility. Half of which would be a term loan and half of which would be a revolver. Each with a floating interest rate and three-year maturity.

Page 41

The debt transaction at issue for Pinnacle was an offering of senior subordinated notes with an aggregate face value of up to 750 million dollars with a an interest rate up to 8.5 percent, with a 7 and 12 year maturity term.

Resolution 2006-10 requires that Executive Director Yelton's interim approvals be brought before you at the next Commission meeting so the Commission can have an opportunity to direct additional or different action.

You have all been provided with Executive Director Yelton's interim approval letter and our outside finance expert's opinion, Dr. Charlene Sullivan, on both matters so that you can have your vote today.

Commission staff recommends that you ratify the previously extended interim approvals by approving Orders 2007-14 and 15 at this time.

COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Questions on either order?

Is there a motion to approve?

22 COMMISSIONER VOWELS: So moved.

COMMISSIONER WALSH: I will second.

COMMISSIONER MURPHY: It's been moved and

seconded. All in favor?

7

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

21

Page 42 BOARD: I. COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Opposed? Orders 2007-14 and 15 are approved. Thank you. Next item will be disciplinary actions presented by Chris Gray MS. GRAY: Good afternoon, Commissioners. 7 You have before you six settlement agreements 8 concerning disciplinary actions. The first settlement is with Casino Aztar, 10 Order 2007-16, which includes 10 counts. The first 11 count involves three different sensitive key 12 violations and access to sensitive areas. 13 In the second count, Aztar violated the rule to 14 require riverboat licensee to inform the Commission 15 within 15 days of an employee job transfer. 16 The third count involves the discrepancy of 17 patron admissions between the primary and secondary 18 turnstiles. Upon discovery, security officers 19 began hand counting patrons using clickers. 20 casino demonstrated a lack of confidence in the 21 turnstiles by requiring the use of the clickers, 22 yet they did not use the information until told to 23 do so, from the clickers, to determine the accurate 24 count for the RG-1. The casino violated admission

Circle City Reporting 317-635-7857

rules requiring the reporting of all methods of

25

Page 43

counting to insure accuracy.

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The fourth count involves four incidents of underaged persons.

The fifth count involves a violation of the requirement to cover chips with a locked transparent cover when the gaming table is closed.

The sixth count, there were four violations of the sensitive key rules involving slot machine doors and count room doors being left unlocked.

The seventh count violated an internal control requiring the floor supervisor, in the presence of a dealer, to run all decks of cards from the table through a deck checker.

The eighth count involves the violation of several rules when a drop box came up missing. The incident was not timely reported and the surveillance footage could not be viewed. The contents of the box, both the currency and tickets, have never been recovered.

The ninth count involves untimely filing of the RG-1 and RG-2.

The final count violated the proper announcing of no more bets on the roulette table.

Aztar has agreed to pay a total fine of \$160,500 in lieu of a disciplinary action for these

Page 44 10 counts. The Commission staff recommends that you approve the settlement agreement. COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Questions? COMMISSIONER VOWELS: That count nine, related to filing of the tax forms, is that because there was one signature rather than two? MS. GRAY: Yes. COMMISSIONER VOWELS: It wasn't because they didn't file their taxes, but they didn't have the 10 second person sign off? 11 MS. GRAY: Right. That is correct. 12 COMMISSIONER VOWELS: Nothing else. 13 COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Is there a motion to 14 approve? 15 COMMMISSIONER SWIHART: So move. 16 COMMISSIONER VOWELS: Seconded. 17 COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Moved and seconded. 18 All in favor? 19 BOARD: I. 20 COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Opposed? 21 Order 2007-16 is approved. 22 MS. GRAY: Thank you. 23 The second Order, 2007-17, is a settlement 24 agreement with Belterra in which an underaged 25 person was discovered at a blackjack table.

Page 45 Belterra has agreed to a fine of \$4,500 in lieu of a disciplinary action. And the Commission staff recommends approval of the settlement agreement. COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Ouestion on order 2007-17? If not, is there a motion to approve? 7 COMMISSIONER VOWELS: I will move to approve. COMMISSIONER SWIHART: Second. COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Moved and seconded. 10 All in favor? 11 BOARD: I. 12 COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Opposed? 13 Order 2007-17 is approved. MS. GRAY: Thank you. The third Order, 2007-18, is a settlement 16 agreement with Blue Chip wherein three underaged 17 persons were allowed to board the casino. Blue 18 Chip has agreed to a fine of \$18,000 in lieu of a 19 disciplinary action. The Commission staff 20 recommends approval of the settlement agreement. 21 COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Questions on order 22 2007-18? 23 COMMISSIONER VOWELS: The agreed fine is 24 \$18,000; is that right? 25 MS. GRAY: Yes.

Page 46

- ¹ COMMISSIONER VOWELS: There is a graduating ² fine based on what's going on. And it is my
- understanding this is the third, fourth, fifth time
- this has happened in a six-month period?
- MS. GRAY: Correct.
- COMMISSIONER VOWELS: Five times in a six month period seems higher than we normally see it; does it not?
- 9 MS. GRAY: Yes.
- 10 COMMISSIONER VOWELS: Has Blue Chip made any representations to you or anybody else in the Commission about why they have a problem here or how they are going to rectify it?
- MS. GRAY: Well, Blue Chip has -- normally what they do is they immediately terminate the employee when this happens.
- 17 COMMISSIONER VOWELS: It sounds to me like 18 there's not going to be anybody left.
- MS. GRAY: But that's normally what they do.
- ²⁰ All of the employees are very much aware of that.
- 21 And so I'm not quite sure that there is much they
- can do other than terminate them as soon as this
- occurs.
- 24 COMMISSIONER VOWELS: Okay. There is something
- missing. Maybe they aren't telling the others

Page 47 1 I think that gets around. MS. GRAY: Okay. I don't have COMMISSIONER VOWELS: anything further. COMMISSIONER MURPHY: No more questions. Do I have a motion to approve? I will move to approve. COMMISSIONER VOWELS: 7 COMMISSIONER SWIHART: Seconded. COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Moved and seconded. All in favor? 10 BOARD: I. 11 Opposed? COMMISSIONER MURPHY: 12 Order 2007-18 is approved. 13 MS. GRAY: Thank you. Order 2007-19 is a settlement agreement with 15 Caesar's involving cage paperwork that was 16 corrected improperly. The casino was informed of 17 the problem following an IGC program audit but 18 failed to correct before a follow up audit was 19 conducted. Caesar's has agreed to fine of \$3,000 20 in lieu of disciplinary action. The commission 21 staff recommends approval of this settlement 22 agreement. 23 COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Ouestion on Order 24 2007-19? 25 COMMISSIONER SWIHART: Move to accept.

Page 48 COMMISSIONER VOWELS: Second. COMMISSIONER MURPHY: It's been moved and seconded. All in favor? BOARD: Τ. COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Opposed? Order 2007-19 is approved. 7 MS. GRAY: Order 2007-20 is a settlement 8 agreement with Horseshoe Casino in which an underaged person was allowed to board the vessel. 10 Horseshoe has agreed to a fine of \$1,500 in lieu of 11 disciplinary action. The Commission staff 12 recommends approval of this settlement agreement. 13 COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Any questions on order 14 2007-20? 15 COMMISSIONER SWIHART: Move to approve. 16 COMMISSIONER VOWELS: Seconded. 17 COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Moved and seconded. 18 All in favor? 19 BOARD: I. 20 COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Opposed? 21 Order 2007-20 approved. 22 MS. GRAY: The final Order is 2007-21, is a 23 settlement agreement with Majestic Star I and II 24 involving two counts. 25 In the first count, the cage cashier failed to

Page 49 sign out her key at the beginning of her shift. This violated the rule requiring sensitive keys to be assigned for on a log. In the second count, an underaged person was allowed to board the casino. Majestic Star has agreed to pay a total fine of 7 \$5,500 in lieu of a disciplinary action. Commission staff recommends you approve the settlement agreement. 10 COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Question on Order 11 2007-21? 12 COMMISSIONER VOWELS: Motion to approve. 13 COMMISSIONER SWIHART: Second. COMMMISSIONER MURPHY: It's been moved and 15 seconded. 16 All in favor? 17 BOARD: Τ. 18 COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Order 2007-21 approved. 19 MS. GRAY: Thank you. 20 COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Our next item of business 21 will be rule-related matters, Phil Sicuso. 22 GENERAL COUNSEL SICUSO: Thank you. 23 Resolution 2007-22, as proposed, would adopt an 24 emergency rule which would make certain amendments 25 to the regulatory definitions of two terms, those

Page 50

being key persons and substantial owners.

Under our regulations, all key persons are required to submit Personal Disclosure Form 1 as part of a corporate application for a riverboat license or supplier's license. As it is currently defined in the code, however, we have found those terms to be excessively broad, to contain very vague language, and to be so similar in some respects that they are actually redundant of each other. It serves little affective purpose.

The amended definitions would serve to differentiate the two terms, as well as to focus their scope or -- well, to reflect the characteristics that we believe describe individuals who the staff believes needs to be investigated as part of any corporate application for a riverboat owner or supplier license. The changes, however, do nothing to restrict the Commission's ultimate authority to investigate anybody they deem is necessary to be investigated based upon certain scenarios.

The need for amendment to the rule is immediate and substantial and we need to do an emergency rule because the background and financial investigation section of the Division of Gaming Agency is on the

Page 51 1 verge of completing a comprehensive overhaul of the PD1 application. Their preference is to use these two new definitions in their application. And we feel that there is a need to define these two terms consistently, both in our regulations and in our application materials. If approved, the emergency rule would be effective for up to 180 days, during which time the Commission staff would be required to pursue the 10 statutorily required procedures for promulgating 11 these definitions into final and permanent 12 regulations. 13 With this in mind, and you don't have any other 14 questions, we recommend that you approve Resolution 15 2007-22 which would authorize the emergency rule to 16 change these two definitions. 17 COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Questions on Resolution 18 2007-22? 19 COMMISSIONER WALSH: You use the word 20 promulgating. 21 GENERAL COUNSEL SICUSO: Unfortunately, 22 sometimes I dream that word. 23 Other questions? COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Do I 24 have a motion to approve?

Circle City Reporting 317-635-7857

COMMISSIONER WALSH: So moved.

25

Page 52 1 COMMISSIONER SWIHART: I will second that. COMMISSIONER MURPHY: It's been moved and seconded. All in favor? BOARD: Τ. COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Opposed? Resolution 2007-22 is approved. 7 The next Resolution is 2007-23, will be 8 presented to us by Lea Ellingwood MS. ELLINGWOOD: Good afternoon. Welcome, 10 again, Mr. Cummings to the Commission. 11 You have before you Resolution 2007-23 12 regarding conduct of Charity Gaming. In July of 13 last year, oversight of Charity Gaming was transferred to the Gaming Commission and, shortly 15 thereafter, the Commission staff began the process 16 of adopting a comprehensive rule governing the 17 conduct of Charity Gaming. As part of the 18 rulemaking process, the Commission staff wrote a 19 small business economic impact statement and 20 submitted it to the Indiana Economic Development 21 Corporation. Shortly thereafter, they verbally 22 approved the impact statement we submitted. 23 Weeks after the Commission adopted the Charity 24 Gaming rule in November of 2006, the IEDC forwarded 25 written comments regarding the impact statement to

Page 53 the Commission staff so that members of the public would have an opportunity to comment on IEDC's written comments. We suspended the rule-adopting process and scheduled another public hearing which was held this past January 3rd. The Commission staff made changes to the rule 7 based on the comments we received from that hearing. Copies of the hearing transcript and written comments have been provided to you, along 10 with a red line version of the rule which 11 illustrates the difference between the rule you 12 adopted in November and the rule as it appears 13 before you today. The Commission staff recommends that you vote 15 to approve the resolution, adopting the final rule 16 before you, which will then become effective upon 17 approval by the Attorney General's office and the 18 Governor's office. 19 COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Ouestions on Resolution 20 2007-23? If not, is there a motion to approve? 21 COMMISSIONER SWIHART: So moved. 22 COMMISSIONER VOWELS: Second. 23 COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Moved and seconded. All

Circle City Reporting 317-635-7857

24

25

in favor?

BOARD:

I.

Page 54 1 COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Opposed? Resolution 2007-23 is approved. 3 MS. ELLINGWOOD: Thank you. COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Thank you. The next item of business is other business and I will turn it over to Executive Director Yelton. 7 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: Thank you, 8 Mr. Chair. Your Deputy Director, Ms. Arnold has reminded 10 me that during my report I rudely omitted her. 11 Rude is not the word she used. In any event, I 12 would like to call on Ms. Arnold to give an update. 13 MS. ARNOLD: Good afternoon, Commissioners. 14 As you know, we have been in the process, with 15 the Center of Urban Policy and Environment, of 16 conducting a gaming industry specific to the 17 disparity study. We have received a draft from the 18 Center of Urban Policy that we intend to share with 19 you and members of our advisory group within the 20 coming days. We are also in the process of 21 contracting with the Indianapolis law firm of 22 Coleman, Graham, and Stevenson for a legal review 23 of the study. 24 I know you are going to say you've heard this 25 before. But at the next meeting, we are going to

Page 55

- have the study ready for your review, and along
- with that we will have recommendations regarding
- the establishment of minority in women's business
- ⁴ utilization rules.
- Thank you.
- 6 COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Thank you.
- The next item of business is Resolution 2007-24 presented by Andrew Klinger.
- 9 MR. KLINGER: Thank you.
- I believe this is the last matter on the agenda for action today.
- 12 This resolution, Resolution 2007-24, would 13 grant the executive director the authority to 14 approve changes in the odds that a riverboat may 15 offer on bets in the game of craps. Under the 16 existing rules, the executive director already has 17 the authority to approve the rules of the game of craps, and those rules would include the odds that 18 19 are offered on craps wagers. However, the 20 regulations also appear to require a duplicative 21 approval in this particular situation when a 22 riverboat wants to change the odds that they offer 23 on a craps wager.
- The resolution before you would help clarify these requirements by declaring that the approval

Page 56 of odds -- of changes to the odds in a craps game is an executive function and grants the executive director the authority to grant those approvals. If you have no questions, then the staff recommendation would be to approve Resolution 2007-24. 7 COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Are there any questions? 8 If none, then, a motion? COMMISSIONER SWIHART: So move. 10 I will second. COMMISSIONER VOWELS: 11 It's been moved and COMMISSIONER MURPHY: 12 seconded. All in favor? 13 BOARD: I. 14 COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Resolution 2007-24 15 approved. Thank you. 16 The next item is that we have a request for the 17 Commission to speak by the Indiana Coalition 18 against Legalized Gambling. Welcome. 19 Executive Director -- you will MR. CLAVEZ: 20 have to excuse me, I'm going through a recovery of 21 a bad cold and sinus. 22 Mr. Vice Chair and Members of the Commission, I 23 want to thank you for the opportunity of speaking 24 before you. I am Lorin Clavez, I'm a retired 25 United Methodist Pastor with 42 years experience,

Page 57

mostly up in North Indiana. I was born in Hammond and raised in Dyer, and now live in retirement in Fishers. I have been in this position for just one year and still have much to learn about the political process. But I have heard that, even from people who have served as representatives and

senators, that it is an ongoing learning process.

One of the Indiana Coalition Against Legalized Gambling concerns come by way of one of our members, Walter Shoultz. He sent an open letter to the members of the Commission and concerns that he had. And I will leave copies of that with for the entire Commission. And we hope that this is something that you would look at, if you haven't already, and to take it seriously.

His contention is that we see the Commission responsible for several priorities. One is, that you have a priority to represent the State of Indiana. Second, you have a priority of representing and working with those who run the gambling games. But third is, as a Commission in the State of Indiana there is the obligation to make sure that the welfare of the citizen is protected. And that is an area that we sometimes don't always see.

Page 58

One of my own pet peeves -- we always talk about truth in advertising, and it irritates me to see when I go into the grocery store "\$5,400 was won this past week." I would love to see one time when they would say, "And this is what they had to spend in order for those to win." I think it's obvious that the lottery is probably the most obvious visible form of gambling that we have in Indiana, because they can buy it at convenience stores, grocery stores, almost anyplace.

So one of our concerns is also the fact that it is then an -- easy to target the lower economic groups of citizens. I guess we are concerned -- I don't guess, I know we are concerned that we don't always understand what the cost of gambling is. We have produced a white paper on the concerns on expansion of gambling in Indiana and I will give copies of that to you as well.

There are four areas that we are concerned about. The riverboat casinos are constantly increasing the size of their boats and allowing for more gambling positions. We have heard our governor state he is against any expansion of gambling. But when a casino boat goes in and doubles their size and maybe doubling the amount of

Page 59

their gambling stations, is that not an expansion of gambling.

In discussion of this issue, I have discovered that the issue might lie in, who makes the definition? Who defines how many gambling positions should be allowed at each riverboat? It is my understanding right now there is nothing in writing. If there isn't, why not? And if there should be, who is going to take the initiative?

We are also concerned about the whole thing with the slot machines at the racetracks. Hopefully, that will not come through, but our questions are also if 2,500 slots go into each of the two racetracks, will that not simply make it a land based casino? And how are the casino boats going to respond to that? Is that unfair competition? It has been felt that we are heading towards land based casinos, and that's the direction we have been heading ever since we started.

We also know that there is talk about the electronic gaming devices being allowed in the fraternal organizations, as well as certain restaurants that have a liquor license that serve on the premises. This may not go through, but our

Page 60

concern is still that it literally would almost make the entire State of Indiana one casino.

Our fourth concern is the whole privatizing of the State lottery. It's amazing how presidents of the universities, representatives of the police and fire, fire people, and teachers sort of drool with the idea of unfunded liability being picked up. But here, again, it is a question of what is the

true cost of gambling, especially with the lottery?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

About a year ago, we heard in the house chamber a report stating the benefits outnumber the cost by about 750 million dollars. The thing I was concerned about, though, is that somebody said this was not a definitive study. And somebody says, "What would it take?" And the person indicated that it would take at least 12 to 18 months to do the study, but it would also cost up to a billion dollars. And I was dismayed to hear one of the representatives present say, "We will never do that."

We either need to be concerned about what the cost of gambling is or begin to be honest with ourselves about it. Have we become so addicted to the revenues of gaming that we cannot stop? We need some courageous leadership in Indiana to

Page 61

discover some of these answers, and I hope you will
be a part of that discovery.

I again thank you for allowing me to speak to you. At this time, I would also like to recognize we have with us this afternoon: Reverend Tom Gray, who is the spokesperson for the National Coalition Against Legalized Gambling. And you probably know this, but there is an awful lot of United Methodist pastors involved in that. In the State of Indiana we have over, 1200 churches and pastors, and this is one of the most fine representations that we have with Tom.

MS. ARNOLD: Before you continue, I would like to say that it's nice to meet you in person, finally, after our telephone conversation. And that we look forward to working with you. Commission staff has had a long and productive relationship with the coalition. We have worked together on legislative issues. We find that the coalition is very valuable when we look at policies. I do think that a lot of the things you've mentioned today are not within our purview, like we discussed before. I think that the legislature should be made aware of your views. We would stay neutral on those views, but if you need

Page 62

- assistance with introduction or anything in the way
- of making your views known to the legislature, we
- 3 would be glad to assist you and work with you as we
- 4 have worked with the coalition for many, many
- ⁵ years.
- 6 MR. CLAVEZ: And we would appreciate input from
- you as far as those areas that you don't feel is
- ⁸ under your jurisdiction, and would refer to us.
- 9 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: Reverend, quite
- frankly, all four of our legislative division is
- not issues of policy that this Commission has the
- authority, as Ms. Arnold was trying to explain.
- MR. CLAVEZ: The question still remains,
- though, who makes that definition?
- EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: The legislature
- MR. CLAVEZ: And why haven't they? And I know
- you can't speak for them.
- EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: That's a far good
- 19 question. Thank you.
- MR. CLAVEZ: That's a rhetorical question.
- 21 COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Thank you, Mr. Clavez.
- Would Reverend Gray like to speak?
- REVEREND GRAY: Thank you. I appreciate the
- opportunity before you this afternoon. My
- experience, and I appreciate getting information

Page 63

- from the Indiana Commission, that you understand I
- encouraged and personally participated in the
- process in my home State of Illinois, also
- ⁴ Missouri, Las Vegas, New Jersey when issues have
- 5 arisen that had to do with regulations and where
- the public participation might be important. So I
- do appreciate -- I know John Wolf has worked with
- you over past years, and so I look at this as a
- ⁹ building opportunity.

First and foremost, you have a difficult job.

- 11 I was sitting there thinking this is really
- entertainment, like shopping in the movies, but I
- can't imagine any regulatory body of movies that
- takes as much staff and work and looking and
- occupation licenses and felony background checks;
- so you really have an enormous task.

But when gambling was brought to the public, it

- was brought on the basis it would be most
- regulated; because this was something that was a
- criminal activity, the mob did it, and if the
- government was going to get into it, it was going
- to be above reproach. And you are the staff and
- appointees that do that.
- So it behooves the public to participate in a
- full manner so that that process might work.

Page 64

Then you get USA Today and you have got
international cheats coming after you. I sort of
have the image -- I saw James Bond, the new one,
Casino Royale. I don't think it's James Bond with
a blonde on his arm that's trying to tap into these
slot machines; but there is money there, so you
have got that going.

You also to have to make sure the games are fair. There are times when you really have got to stop -- I see up in Canada they had some machines they had to pull that were showing jackpots and they had to pull them. So you have an enormous pressure on just making sure the games are fair, people have confidence cheaters can't get at it.

You also then have the problem of self-exclusion and addiction. You really do have a responsibility. This is an addictive product. And know one wants -- and the casino owners always tell me, you don't want someone to lose their livelihood, house or whatever. One pathological gambler is too many. But how many are in exclusion now?

23 COMMISSION STAFF: Around 1,600.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

REVEREND GRAY: 1,600. Illinois is hitting

5,000. It depends on when they started the program

Page 65

as to how many. But those are people that are
just -- pathological disease, they can't do it. So
you have that responsibility. The fact that you
have self-exclusion, I hope you support it in a
full way and I hope the casinos do. We have asked
everyone be checked. They shouldn't slip through
the cracks. I don't know if your system works, but
in Illinois the only way they catch them is if they
win. And then they don't pay them, they take the
money. But if they lose they can walk straight out

of that casino without any ID check.

The last pressure is the profit pressure, and that's really a tough one. Because the government wants you to produce that money. At the end of the day, how much is going to be in that budget that is generated from gambling? That pressure of revenue often sees one state pitted against the other state. When I started, did you realize in Iowa the first riverboat you had to pay \$19.95 to get on it, and that was lunch. Dinner was \$29.95 to get on, to then gamble on a ship that cruised. And 70 percent of it was entertainment space and 30 percent was gambling space.

Well, Illinois came in and said, "Gee, those over in Iowa, forget this. We will have a low

Page 66

- charge and wall-to-wall slot machines. We don't
- need to do entertainment. In fact, we won't have
- any limit on the gambling," because Iowa had a
- 4 limit, \$5.
- Well, you got in the game and I met with
- 6 Governor George Ryan. I will never forget, in
- ⁷ 1999 I met the governor and he said, "I will
- 8 allow land-based gambling because they have it
- ⁹ in Indiana." Now, I'm a little guy, but I
- certainly knew that Indiana did not have land-based
- gambling in 1999.
- And I said that to the governor and he got red in
- the face. I want you to know, he is in jail or
- going to jail and I'm not.
- What happened is that lobbyists in Indiana and
- in Illinois -- because both companies work in both
- states. Do you understand that? This is not local
- competition anymore. What was happening is that
- they were playing Illinois and using Indiana and
- they approved dockside gambling. And with that,
- 21 profits went up 20 percent. So with the approval
- of dockside was an expansion of gambling; because
- when profits go up, you expand your profitability.
- Well, what did you do in Indiana, then?
- ²⁵ Illinois has dockside gambling and to compete

Page 67

- legislatively, the legislature then says, "Let's go
- to dockside." So those are pressures that are not
- in your purview, but they are real because
- legislative -- as you said, the legislature acts
- 5 and they then dictate.
- Now, there are three ways to expand gambling and I will wrap up quickly. You can do it at the
- ⁸ ballet box. And just so you know, in 2004 there
- has been nine statewide referendums on gambling,
- expansion of casinos. You know what? We have won
- all nine.
- Now, you in Indiana know that not only gets you
- in the Super Bowl, but you win with a perfect
- record. Nine states that have gambling, when
- citizens have walked into a ballet box they say,
- "We don't want it to go any further." So there is
- a real concern about how much gambling we should
- have. It's not up to you to vote on that, you
- regulate it. But the climate of expansion of
- gambling, when people have a chance to walk into a
- ballet box they are saying, "We've had enough."
- Secondly, legislatures can expand gambling.
- 23 And the State that has done it is Pennsylvania.
- They passed a massive expansion of gambling of
- 61,000 machines. But I want you to know there were

Page 68

- ¹ 22 other states that were trying to expand that we
- held the line in. So legislatures are not rushing
- 3 to expand. The bills are always there, the
- 4 pressure is always there. But I don't want you to
- believe that this is inevitable, it's the force of
- 6 history. It might not even be desirable in the
- ⁷ long run.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The third way, and what is before you today, is that you can expand it through regulatory rules.

And I printed, specifically, my objections. But

let me tell you how I perceive this rule change, of

what's going on. Just to give you an indication of

how the public might perceive it.

they are going to get."

First of all, the rule change is being worked on a staff level. And the hiring of ABS to work on that, while also working for the casinos that want to make the changes, there is an appearance to that. I mean, it's there. And it could have a good reason, you say no problem, I say if I look at it, "Gee, that looks like what the casinos want,

Secondly, the fact that it's already being built. How can you build something and put that much money in it, unless you are sure that when the vote is taken it's going to be okay. Now, maybe

Page 69

- that can all run side-by-side, but if the public is
- 2 looking -- we saw it in Illinois when they tried to
- build the Rosemont Casino. The mob had gotten
- themselves into the ownership, they started to
- build the parking garage, they walked in and said,
- ⁶ "We spent 40 million dollars, you are going to tell
- us we can't get this." The regulatory body really
- 8 took a lot of pressure on that because, in a sense,
- the legislature passed it and so the regulatory
- body sort of sent a message back to Springfield,
- "We are not going to license this group, they are
- not suitable." And it became a tremendous -- I
- don't know if you followed it, but more lawyers
- have been employed from that case than probably --
- I mean, it was an economic surge of money in the
- legal profession.
- So I guess I will wrap up. The bottom line is
- that if the rule change is approved, if they can
- double the amount of the machines, it is an
- expansion of gambling. And it can be
- technically -- you can say, "We don't have the
- number" -- it's an unintended consequence of
- letting this happen. But the bottom line is you
- will have twice as many addictive delivery
- machines, you will have increased the number of

Page 70

pathological gamblers, and all of that will be done
 without any vote in the legislature.

I mean, it appears that it will be the decision. So what I want to do is get on the public record and say when you sit down, weigh those things. Understand how it is possible for the public to perceive it as being a massive expansion of gambling with very little, if any, which is us coming down and finding it here. There is not going to be a debate or discussion where it will double the size. I don't think they will have public hearings and the public won't have a chance to say whether we want more.

So I solute you for the job you do. Keep your independence. Professional staff, stay professional. I know you don't get paid as much as the people that are in this business, but we the public really rely on you being regulators and professionals that regulate in a manner that we can then have confidence and this is aboveboard.

If you ever have any questions of me, I will always be available and I'm privileged to have worked with the fine people here and address you here today. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Thank you, Reverend Gray.

	Page 71
1	Executive Director Yelton, do we have a
2	scheduled meeting?
3	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: Yes, our next
4	scheduled meeting of the Indiana Gaming Commission
5	is for June 21st at a location that has yet to be
6	decided.
7	COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Thank you.
8	There is no more business to come before the
9	Commission. I will entertain a motion to adjourn.
10	COMMISSIONER MURPHY: I will so move.
11	COMMISSIONER SWIHART: Second.
12	COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Moved and seconded.
13	All in favor?
14	BOARD: I.
15	COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Opposed?
16	We stand adjourned.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

```
Page 72
 1
     STATE OF INDIANA )
 2
                         SS:
 3
     COUNTY OF MARION )
 5
 6
         I, Robin L. Helton, a Notary Public in and for
     said county and state, do hereby certify that the
     Gaming Commission Business Meeting, March 8, 2007,
     at 1:00 p.m., was taken down in stenograph notes
10
     and afterwards reduced to typewriting under my
11
     direction, and that the typewritten transcript is a
12
     true record of the proceedings held.
13
         IN WITNESS WHEREFORE, I have hereunto set my
14
     hand and affixed my notarial seal this 25th day of
15
     March, 2007.
16
17
18
19
                   Robin L. Helton, Notary Public,
                   Residing in Marion County, Indiana
20
21
22
23
       My Commission Expires:
       June 6, 2009
24
25
```